costs of \$6.00 per change include fixed costs, such as training. Thus, it is simply untrue, as the RBOCs claimed, that demand is unnecessary to calculate the projected costs. A higher demand would result in a lower loading of training per RESPORG change. The RBOCs have not provided nor justified their demand assumptions.

In conclusion, MCI respectfully requests that the Commission disallow from the RBOCs' rates the costs for intrastate 800 data base service, costs associated with the CIC expansion, and significant undocumented increases in Bellcore software costs. Additionally, the meager information provided by the RBOCs on other cost issues is insufficient to justify their SMS rates. MCI, therefore, also requests that the Commission require the RBOCs to supplement the record so that the parties can fully evaluate the reasonableness of these rates.

B. THE RBOCS HAVE NOT JUSTIFIED SOME OF THE 800 SMS TARIFF TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The RBOCs have failed to justify the reasonableness of some of their 800 SMS terms and conditions. Any entities, no matter how experienced in the communications industry, may act as RESPORG. However, the conduct of every RESPORG using the SMS can affect the quality of service that other RESPORGs are able to provide for their customers. Thus, the <u>SMS Tariff</u> should clearly delineate the responsibilities that RESPORGs undertake as purchasers of SMS access service and implement procedures to safely provide administrative services to RESPORGs. First, the RBOCs reference,

but do not incorporate, some important industry guidelines in the SMS Tariff. 220/

The RBOCs claim that referencing other documents

is common practice in access tariffs, and the documents referred to in this tariff are appropriate for incorporation . . . To meet the need for both a definitive tariff and flexible guidelines, the SMS/800 Tariff does include those elements of the Guidelines that are truly defined as SMS/800 requirements (e.g., limiting the number of days a number can remain in reserved status, limiting the quantity of numbers that any given Resp Org can hold in reserve, etc.). 221/

MCI disagrees that all important SMS/800 requirements have been incorporated. To the contrary, certain significant requirements are missing. For example, industry guidelines "to insure that RESPORGS coordinate the placement of service orders with the carriers that are affected by them" were considered so critical that the Commission required that they be incorporated into the SMS access tariff. 222/ Failure to follow them could result in network failure or loss of 800 service. Yet, the RBOCS, thus far, have failed to comply.

Other important guidelines are inconsistent with the <u>SMS</u>

<u>Tariff</u>. Confusion could easily result as the <u>SMS Tariff</u> provides that it will prevail whenever there is a conflict between the

 $[\]frac{220}{}$ RBOCs at 8-10.

^{221/} RBOCs at 9 [footnote omitted].

Provision of Access for 800 Service, CC Docket 86-10, Order, 8 FCC Rcd. 1423 (1993), at 1428.

tariff and the referenced industry guidelines. 223/ For example, the SMS Tariff strictly prohibits the assignment of an 800 number to more than one subscriber. 224/ However, explanatory definitions and limited exceptions proposed in the industry guidelines are in conflict with the letter of the tariff. 225/ Access purchasers may easily become confused. Also, the RBOCs recognize 800 number reservation guidelines as critical SMS/800 requirements. They also note that the guidelines are about to be changed, but do not specifically commit to incorporating the changes into the tariff. 226/ At a minimum, inconsistencies could cause uncertainty for RESPORGs attempting to responsibly provide service to 800 subscribers. Thus, the RBOCs should revise their SMS Tariff to incorporate provisions consistent with industry guidelines.

The RBOCs' <u>SMS Tariff</u> is also unreasonable in that it fails to incorporate the Commission's clear prohibition against the sale of vertical features by the LECs to parties other than those who directly purchase network access from the LECs. RESPORGs should be notified of this restriction in the <u>SMS Tariff</u> and apprised of

^{223&#}x27; Section 2.1.1.(D)(5) of the <u>SMS Tariff</u> provides that the "[i]n the event of a conflict between this tariff and the [Industry] Guidelines [for 800 Data Base], provision of this tariff shall apply."

See SMS Tariff Section 2.3.1(A)(6).

<u>See</u>, <u>e.g.</u>, <u>Industry Guidelines for 800 Number Administration</u>, Section 5, Glossary, definition of Shared Use 800 Service.

^{226/} RBOCs at 13.

their obligation to assure that service has been established with each 800 service provider.

Additionally, some of the RBOCs' billing and credit provisions are unreasonable. The RBOCs have incorporated in their tariffs the ability to charge access purchasers based on estimated billings. 227/ Clearly, the RBOCs should be required to document services purchased by the RESPORG prior to expecting Further, the SMS Tariff provides for a credit payment. 228/ allowance only when downtime exceeds three hours. 229/ Downtime can in some instances have a serious impact on service. Thus, RESPORGS need the SMS system available twenty-four hours a day, and a threshold of three hours prior to a credit allowance is unreasonably high.

Finally, the RBOCs' process for the administrator of the 800 Number Administration and Service Center (NASC) to make RESPORG changes to the SMS is unworkable.

See SMS Tariff Section 2.4.1(H).

^{228/} The problem is not alleviated by <u>SMS Tariff</u> Section 2.4.1, which requires the RBOCs to furnish, only "if available," such detailed information as may reasonably be required for verification of any bill.

^{229/} SMS Tariff Section 2.4.2.

In its <u>RESPORG Change Order</u>, below, the Commission determined that the NASC would be preferable to a competitor for making RESPORG changes:

The public interest is not well served by a system requiring that an 800 service provider serving as RESPORG receive advance notice that a customer is, at a minimum, transferring its 800 account coordination to a third party -- often a competitor -- and quite possibly shifting most if not all of its business to a competitor as well. Such changes obviously have particular competitive significance since they affect a customer's entire account and we find that advance notice confers an unfair market advantage on the incumbent carrier, who has a great incentive to resist them. 230/

Yet, the NASC process has been so prolonged, expensive and, unexpectedly, fraught with error, that RESPORGs have been reluctant to use it and have resorted to relying upon their competitors. Unanticipated unauthorized RESPORG changes occurred because prospective RESPORGs were not able to adequately verify the authority of the signing customer. Since the NASC currently has no responsibility to verify such changes, several unauthorized RESPORG changes have involved the NASC.

MCI supports devising a verification process that will not compromise customer proprietary information or be overly costly to the industry and requests that the Commission encourage the industry to quickly develop impartial NASC verification procedures.

Further, MCI requests that the RBOCs be required to establish a procedure whereby 800 end user subscribers can add additional security to prevent the unauthorized transfer of 800 numbers which

^{230/} In the Matter of Provision of Access for 800 Service, Order, CC Docket No. 86-10, 8 FCC Rcd. 1844 (1993) (RespOrg Change Order), at para. 10.

are critical to their operations. For example, MCI is the end user subscriber for 800 numbers used to provide its shared use Personal 800 Service. MCI has requested that the NASC not allow any of these numbers to be transferred to another carrier, as such a transfer will interrupt service for all customers on the shared 800 number. However, the NASC has not implemented any procedures to allow for such a restriction. MCI urges the Commission to require a limited procedure to restrict transfer of critical numbers. Of course, this procedure would need to be tightly controlled to prevent interference with 800 portability.

Unfortunately, verification procedures are not the only barrier to usefulness of the NASC RESPORG change service. Specifically, the requirement that a written authorization be mailed by the receiving RESPORG is overly burdensome. Media other than paper (i.e., facsimile, electronic and batch update) should be acceptable for the submission of requests to the NASC. Limiting these transmissions to paper inhibits the flexibility and efficiency that other media would provide, and unnecessarily delays the change. For decades, businesses have relied on technology for distributing information, completing transactions and updating

^{231/} MCI, as the end user subscriber, requested the NASC (Bellcore) to safeguard against the unauthorized transfer of particular 800 numbers. On September 13, 1993, Bellcore indicated that the issue must be brought before the BOC Management Team for review as it would require a change to the SMS Tariff. It was clear that Bellcore considered MCI's request a RESPORG, rather than an end user, request. MCI raised the issue with the NASC (Lockheed) and sent a list of critical numbers on November 22, 1993. The NASC agreed to review the issue with Database Services Management, Inc. MCI is unaware of any resolution.

records.^{232/} It is unreasonable for the BOCs to require protracted use of an outdated method for initiating critical RESPORG changes. Thus, MCI requests that the Commission reject these unreasonable provisions and require the LECs to implement and tariff alternative, improved mechanisms for transmitting information to the NASC.

Also, requiring a RESPORG to certify that it has the written authorization of the customer may preclude carriers from using procedures like those for outbound services sales verification, e.g., third party verification. MCI has demonstrated that it would serve the public interest if the NASC will accommodate these verification methods, and MCI urges the Commission to reject this provision and require the BOCs (and their agent, the NASC) and all RESPORGs to adopt verification methods that are consistent with outbound sales procedures. AT&T has recently expressed its support of MCI's position and asked that the Commission establish that third party verification procedures to validate RESPORG changes be accepted by all carriers. 234/

The Commission, for example, recently required facsimile communications of petitions against tariffs filed on 14 days notice, as a means to accommodate a more rapid movement of information than occurs by mailing. See Amendment to Section 1.773 of the Commission's Rules Regarding Pleading Cycle for Petitions Against Tariff Filings Made on 14 Days' Notice, Report and Order, CC Docket No. 92-117, 8 FCC Rcd 1683 (1993).

See Petition for Clarification or, in the Alternative, Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 86-10, filed by MCI on April 29, 1993.

AT&T Ex Parte, CC Docket No. 86-10, filed October 28, 1993.

Additionally, in order to assure that changes are implemented in a timely manner, several carriers support Allnet's petition, 235/ requesting that RESPORG changes be made within two business days of the request. 236/ These timeframes have been adopted by the industry, 237/ and MCI requests that the Commission require the BOCs to incorporate explicitly in the SMS Tariff as well for NASC RESPORG changes. The RBOCs' proposal to substitute a "negotiated interval" for the two business days is unreasonable and potentially discriminatory and would result in excessive postponement of the change. The RBOCs promise that "[i]f the volume of Resp Org change requests over time indicates the feasibility of performing changes

Emergency Petition for Declaratory Ruling or, in the Alternative, Rulemaking, filed by Allnet Communication Services, Inc. (Allnet) on February 17, 1993.

See the following Comments to the Allnet Petition: Business Telecom, Inc. (BTI) at 4 ("Consistent with the Allnet Petition, BTI believes that the Commission should insure that changes conducted promptly. ."); Competitive are Telecommunications Association at 3 ("mak[ing] timely compliance with customer change requests mandatory rather than optional, would be a step in the right direction."); LDDS Communications, Inc., Section I ("To counterbalance natural business incentives to delay the loss of a customer, the FCC should adopt simple rules to insure that carriers and RespOrgs handle service conversion orders expeditiously"); Pacific Telecom at 2 ("[W]e would have no objection to a rule that required a Resporg to meet an end user's requested due date within two business days of the due date as long that due date has also been agreed to by the service provider."); and Sprint Communications Company LP at 2 ("Sprint agrees that changes should be made within two days from the time the resp org receives customer authorization, and urges mandatory application of this standard to all resp orgs.").

This resolution was adopted by the CLC Ad Hoc 800 Data Base Committee, Issue 42, Carrier/RespOrg Change Procedures at the February 23-24 meeting and modified at the March 23-24, 1993 meeting. (CLC Issue 42).

on a fixed time limit, appropriate tariff revisions will be made."238/ However, they do not provide any evidence as to the volume of orders they have received. Nor do they claim that they have been unable to complete any RESPORG changes within the two business day period. Thus, the RBOCs have not justified these unreasonable provisions, and they should be rejected.

 $[\]frac{238}{}$ RBOCs at 12.

IV. CONCLUSION

In sum, the LECs' direct cases did little to lend additional support for their 800 data base service rates. In fact, they merely provided further evidence of unreasonable costs and demand. Thus, MCI requests that the Commission disallow over \$ 30 million in exogenous costs from the 800 data base access rates and require the RBOCs to remove the excess costs included in SMS rates. Further, MCI requests that the Commission order the LECs to provide the additional support, reduce their rates and modify their tariff terms and conditions as recommended herein.

Respectfully Submitted, MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

Carol R. Schultz

1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 887-3101

Its Attorney

Elizabeth Dickerson
Mark Bryant
Trudy Rice
1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
Its Analysts

Dated: April 15, 1994

<u>Appendix</u>	Schedule	Description	No. of Pages
I	A	Exogenous Costs	1
I	B.1	Exogenous Investment Related Costs	16
I	B.2	Exogenous Investment Related Cost Proportion of Investment	f 16
I	С	Estimation of Intrastate SMS Costs	1
II	A	Jurisdictional Separations Investments	16
II	В	Jurisdictional Separations Investment Allocation	ons 16
II	С	Methods of Allocating Investment by Jurisdiction	on 1
III	A	Annual SMS / 800 Costs (NPV)	2
III	В	SMS / 800 Annual Demand Data	1
IV	A	Price Cap Carriers' 800 Database Query Reported	d Demand 1
IV	В	Rate of Return Carriers' 800 Database Query ReDemand	ported 1
Notes		Notes to Appendix I, Schedules A, B.1 and B.2 Appendix II, Schedules A and B	and 2

•

•

Exogenous Costs

Appendix I, Schedule A

Exogenous Costs

							S outhwestern			Southern New		
C	<u>alex or y</u>	Ameritech (1)	Bell Atlantic (1)	Bell South (2)	NYNEX (3)	Pacific Bell (1)	<u>Bell (4)</u>	U.S. West (1)	GTE 158	England Tel. (2)	United (4	Total Cos.
L1 S	TP/SCP Signaling Link	\$11,121	\$1,919,009 (10)	\$30,590	\$133,564			\$104,077			\$1,455,330	\$3,654,791
L2 L	ocal STP/Regional STP Signalling Link		\$1,199,857	\$43,355	\$965,986						\$454,316	\$2,663,514
L3 \$	CP/SMS Signaling Link		\$86,626 (11)	\$19,949		(15)		\$6,372 (16)	\$62,647	\$69,407	\$32,286 (19)	\$277,486
L4 S	CP	\$1,515,086 (9)	\$2,867,345	\$270,072 (13)	\$1,887,388 (14)	\$2,021,744	\$1,063,997	\$1,486,816 (16)	\$3,852,958	\$124,995 (17)	\$3,667,217	\$18,797,620
L5 T	undern Switch					\$3,369,122		\$2,607,959				\$6,177,081
L6 S	MS	\$1,425,965 (9)	\$869,934 (10)	\$560,474 (13)	\$665,297 (14)	\$1,041,955 (15)	\$1,153,547	\$815,625 (18)	\$1,062,753	\$425,897 (17)	\$159,262 (19)	\$8,200,729
L7 S :	SP	\$3,072,799		\$424,730		\$795,994	\$1,402,999	\$2,476,612 (18)	\$2,561,920		\$866,290	\$11,623,334
Le S	ubTotal (6)	\$6,024,973	\$6,942,861	\$1,349,160	\$3,652,255	\$7,228,815	\$3,640,543	\$7,697,461	\$7,560,476	\$620,299	\$6,657,711	\$51,394,556
o	ther											
LO	Repair Center		\$483,007 (10)									\$483,007
L10	Billing		\$15,226 (10)					\$138,062 (16)	\$79,200	\$125,924 (18)		\$356,412
	* 1 * * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 * 1 *											460
L11	Total Claimed 800 Database (7)	\$6,024,973	\$7,441,095	\$1,349,160	\$3,652,255	\$7,228,815	\$3,640,543	\$7,835,523	\$7,659,678	\$746,223	\$6,657,711	\$52,235,976
L12	Reduction for NXX Expenses		(\$559,792)(12)									(\$559,792)
L13	Total Exogenous Costs (8)	\$6.024.973	\$6.881.303	\$1,349,160	\$3.652.255	\$7.228.615	\$3.640.543	\$7.635.523	\$7.659.676	\$746.223	\$6,657,711	\$51,676,184

Exogenous Investment Related Costs

Appendix I, Schedule B.1

Excognous Investment Related Costs

	Ameritech (1)	Bell Atlantic (1)	Bell South (2)	NYNEX (3)	Pacific Bell (1)	Southwestern	n (4) US West (1)(20)	GTE	Southern (5) New England(2)	United Tel. (4)
Total										
STP/SCP Signalling Link Investment	43, 560	3,375,635	28,905	459,943			316,604			2,674,797
Costs Depreciation	7,169	317,400	2,362	47, 403			31,860			534,691
Not Potum Federal Income Tax	1,976 852	405,746 205,999	3,071 1,859	26,248 7,312			36, 108 18, 992			150,498 77,527
State & Local Income Tex			285							14, 123
Maintenance Administration	1,007	330,078 154,980	1,176	37,216 3,656			12,744 4,248			457, 370 193, 404
Other Tex Other Direct Expenses	118	15,745 4 66,66 3	411 21,416	11,748			2,124			26, 521
Investment Cost	11, 121	1,919,099 (10)	30,580	133,584			104,077			1,456,330
Local STP/Regional STP Signalling Link Investment		3,036,106	51,822	3,277,713						193,826
Costs Depreciation										36,762
Not Fletum		267,879 354,835	4,230 5,503	341,027 183,700						10,905
Federal Income Tax State & Local Income Tax		157,967	3,329 507	49,745						5,618 94 6
Maintenance Administration		261,471 117,164	2,095	274,564 16,280						32, 669 14,321
Other Text		20,541	733							2,332
Other Direct Expenses Investment Cost		1,199,857	26,958 43,355	103, 107 965, 986						346,730 454,316
3CP/SMS Signalling Link Investment								114,807		
Conte										
Depreciation Net Return										
Federal Income Tax State & Local Income Tax										
Maintenance										32, 266
Administration Other Tax										
Other Direct Expenses Investment Cost		86,626 (11) 86,626	19,949 19,949		(15	5)	6,372 (16)	1,278,534	89, 407 89, 407	32,266 (19)
SCP Investment	6,451,717	6,749,799	633,360	6,470,871	4,121,871	2,098,998	3,489,771	1,078,926		6,772,200
Costs Depreciation	774,981	631,975	66, 165	666,645	308, 127	174,000	768,897		26, 114	1,364,267
Net Petim Federal Income Tex	329,367 143,371	812,895 412,696	66,646 53,621	361,070	352,724	135,000	392,944		50, 531	361,027 196,287
State & Local Income Tax	23, 249	,	8,179	92,588	106,763 32,434	58,00 0 4,000	201,782		24,520 9,371	35,758
Maintenance Administration	228,619	667, 445 310, 889	39,645	526,243 37,873	1 12, 169 256, 772	657, 999 24, 000	70.093		14,459 (17)	1,157,996 489,672
Other Tex Other Direct Expenses	15,500	31,565	11,614	,			53, 101		14,400 (11)	72,210
Investment Cost	(9) 1,515,088	2,867,345 (4)	(13) 270,072	196,531 (14) 1,867,388	852,754 2,021,744	30,998 1,083,997	(16) 1,486,917	4,300,049	124,995	3,687,217
Tandem Switch										
Investment					7,870,746		12,232,252			
Costs Depreciation					587,873		341,968			
Not Potum Federal Income Tax					673,014		1,374,243			
State & Local Income Tax					204,066 62,165		709, 424			
Maintenance Administration					214, 878 490,570		242, 139 70,093			
Other Text Other Direct Expenses					1,136,555		70,093			
Investment Cost					3,369,122		2,807,959			
SSP Investment	9,807,385						7,368,238			
Costs Depreciation	1,181,846					7,000	463,037			
Net Fletum	507,613					6,000	830, 493			
Federal Income Tax State & Local Income Tax	201, 495 42, 624						429, 053			
Maintenance Administration	875,729					1,327,999 16,000	223,022 97,705			
Other Tex Other Direct Expenses	158,871 104,622		424,730		705 004		80,713			
Investment Cost	3,072,799		424,730		795, 994 795, 994	45,998 1,402,997	2,476,612 (16)	2,861,920		868, 280 868, 280
Total Investment	16,302,463	13, 163,540	914,087	10,208,527	11,992,616	2,096,998	23,408,865	1,193,733		9,640,823
Conta										
Depreciation Not Peturn	1,963,996 836,956	1,237,743 1,573,446	74, 757 97, 222	1,055,076 571,018	696,000 1,025,738	181,000 141,000	1,605,762 2,633,769		26, 114 50,531	1,927,920 1,927,920
Federal Income Tax State & Local Income Tax	345,719 65,673	776,572	56,609 6,971	149,645	310,630	58,000	1,357,251		24,520	279, 431
Maintenance	1,105,355	1,258,994	42,916	838,024	94,600 327,047	4,000 1,985,998	477,905		9,371	50,829 1,680,352
Administration Other Tex	174,487	563,032 67,651	12,958	59,809	747,342	40,000	242, 139 206, 030		14,459	697,396 103,063
Other Direct Expenses	104,622	575, 289	493,053	313,386	2,765,303	76,996	•	8,460,503	69, 407	1,217,010
Total Investment Cost SMS Costs	4,599,008 1,425,965 (9)	6,072,927 869,984 (10)	788,686 560,474 (12)	2,986,958	6,186,860	2,486,994	6,881,837	1 080 750	194,402	6,498,429
Other Couts	· , -2-0, 800 (8)	498, 253 (10)	560,474 (13)	665, 297 (14)	1,041,955	1,153,547	815,625 (16) 138,052 (16)	1,0 82,753 79,200	425,897 (17) 125,924 (19)	159,282 (19)
Peduction for NOCL Expense		(559,792)(12)								
Total Exogenous Cost	6,024,973	6,881,302	1,349,160	3,652,255	7,228,815	3,640,541	7,835,524	1,161,953	746,223	6,657,711

Exprenous Investment Related Costs

				•				
	Amerikoh (1) Bell Atlantic (1)	Bell South (2)	NYNEX (3) Pacific Bell (1)	Southwestern Bell (4)	US West (1)(20)	GTE	Southern (5) New England(2)	United Tel. (4)
Land			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
STP/SCP Signaling Link Investment								
Costs Depreciation Note Person Federal Income Tax State & Local Income Tax Maintenance Astroiristation Other Tax Other Direct Expenses Investment Cost								
Local STP/Regional STP Signaling Link Investment								
Costa Depreciation Not Return Federal Income Tax State & Local Income Tax Maintenance Administration Other Tax Investment Cost								
SCP/6M8 Signalling Link Investment								
Costs Depreciation Net Richan Federal Income Text State &Local Income Text Maintenance Administration Other Text Investment Cost								
SCP								
Investment Costs Depreciation Net Parkin Federal Income Tax State & Local Income Tax Maintenance Administration Other Tax		1,231 126 76 12	37,945 4,054 1,351 403 429 1,845					
Investment Cost Tandem Switch		231	8,063					
Investment			72,454					
Costs Depreciation Not Petum Federal Income Tax State & Local Income Tax Maintenance Administration Other Tax Investment Cost			6,379 2,549 769 619 3,522 16,036					
SSP								
Costs Depreciation Net Refur Fede al Income Tax State & Local Income Tax Maintenance Activinatation Other Tax Investment Cost								
Total Investment								
Costs Depreciation Not Parkum Federal Income Tax State & Local Income Tax Haintenance Administration Other Tax		1,291 126 76 12	110,399 12,433 3,900 1,172 1,248 5,367					
Total investment Cost		17 231	24, 121					

Appendix I, Schedule B.1	Exception Investment Related Costs										
	Southwestern Amerikach (1) Bell Atlantic (1) Bell South (2) NYNEX (3) Pasific Sell (1) Bell (4) US West (1)(20						Southern (5) New Englan(2)	United Tel. (4)			
Bulldings											
STP/SCP Signalling Link											
Costs											
Depreciation Net Patum											
Federal Income Tex											
State & Local Income Tax											
Mininterance Administration											
Other Task											
Other Direct Expenses Investment Cost											
Local STP/Regional STP Signalling Link Investment											
Coets											
Depreciation Not Return											
Federal Income Tax											
State & Local Income Tax											
Maintenance Administration											
Other Tax											
Investment Cost											
3CP/SMS Signalling Link overment											
Coets											
Depreciation Not finance											
Federal Income Tax											
State & Local Income Tax Maintenance											
Administration											
Other Tax											
Investment Cost											
CP nvestment		16,396	443,024								
conta											
Depreciation Not Return		1,340 1,740	13,514								
Federal Income Tax		1,053	25,677 6,109								
State & Local Income Tax Maintenance		161	2,703								
Administration		780	6,757 16,217								
Other Tax		232	10,217								
Investment Cost		5,306	72,977								
andem Switch vestment			845,928								
iceta Depreciation											
Not Pletum			24,532 48,652								
Federal Income Tax State & Local Income Tax			14,851								
Maintenance			4,479 14,1 <i>2</i> 7								
Administration			30,085								
Other Tax nvestment Cost			136,906								
3P veetment			100,200								
pete .											
epreciation											
let Petum Jedend Income Tax											
Inte & Local Income Tax											
Ininteracce dininistration											
ther Tax											
nveetment Cost											
tal Paiment		16, 396	1,288,952								
sete		,	1,200,902								
epreciation		1,340	38,046								
let Pletum edemi income Tax		1,740	74,529								
edemi income Tax Inte & Local Income Tax		1,053	22,980								
laintenance		161 780	7,182 20,884								
dninistation Her Tax			46, 252								
		232									
tal investment Cost		5.306	200 883								

209,863

Appendixi, Schedule B.1 Exogenous, Investment Related Costs Southwestern Southern

Amerikach (1) Bell Athantic (1) Bell South (2) NYNEX (3) Pacific Bell (1) Bell (4) US West (1)(20) GTE (5) New England(2) United Tel. (4) General Purpose Computers STP/SCP Signaling Link Investment Coste
Depreciation
Net firstum
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Mainterance
Adviriatation
Other Tax
Investment Cost Local STP/Regional STP Signaling Link investment Costs
Depreciation
Net Petum
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Mahrienance
Adviriateation
Other Tax
Investment Cost SCP/SMS Signating Link Investment Costs
Depreciation
Net Return
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax
Investment Cost SCP Investment 3,489,771 Costs
Depreciation
Net Person
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Mainterrance
Administration
Other Tax
Investment Cost 768,697 392,944 201,782 70,093 53,101 1,486,817 Tendem Switch Investment Costs
Depreciation
Net Return
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax
Investment Con-Investment Cost SSP Investment Coeta
Depreciation
Not Return
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Administration Total Investment 3,469,771 Costs
Depreciation
Net Return
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Achienistation
Other Tax

766,697 392,944 201,782

53, 101

1,486,817

Total Investment Cost

Excoencies, Investment Related Costs....

Southwestern
Ameritoch (1) Bell Atlantic (1) Bell South (2) NYNEX (3) Pacific Bell (1) Bell (4) US West (1)(20) GTE (5) New England(2) United Tel. (4)

Anning Switching

STP/SCP Signaling Link investment

Costs
Depreciation
Net Return
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Adhirletastion
Other Tax
Investment Cost

Local STP/Regional STP Signalling Link

Costs
Depreciation
Not Palum
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Malyterance
Advariatestion
Other Tax
Investment Cost

SCP/BM6 Signalling Link

Costs
Depreciation
Net Fletum
Federal income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Mahrimmon
Administration
Other Tax
investment Cost

SCP Investment

Coets
Depreciation
Net Return
Federal income Tax
State & Local income Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax
Investment Coet

Tenden: Switch Investment

Costs
Depreciation
Net Fishim
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax
Investment Cost

SSP Investment

Costs
Depreciation
Net Parlum
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Mahterance
Administration
Other Tax
Investment Cost

Total Investment

Costs
Depreciation
Net Return
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax Total Investment Cost

3,880,591 303, 735 437, 549 225, 147

114,697 42,480 42,480 1,165,089

3,880,591

225, 147

114,697 42,480 42,480

1,166,089

Exconnous Investment Related Costs

	Ameritech (1)	Bell Atlantic (1)	Bell South (2)	NYNEX (3)	Pacific Bell (Southwestern 1) Bell (4		GTE	Southern (5) New England(2)	United Tel. (4)
Digital Switching										
STP/SCP Signaling Link										
investment		3,304,045	24,445				127,441			2,674,797
Costs Degreciation										
Net Fletum		309,353 397,900	1,996 2,596				6,496 14,866			534,891 150,463
Federal Income Tex		201,972	1,570				6,372			77,527
State & Local Income Tax Maintenance		326,716	240 1,163				8,496			14, 123 457, 570
Administration		152, 161					2,124			193,404
Other Tax Other Direct Expenses		15,451	346 21,416							26, 521
Investment Cost		1,403,572	29,327				40, 356			1,456,330
Local STP/Regional STP Signalling Link Investment		2,787,824	41,449							193, 626
Coets		2,707,024	41,44							794,020
Depreciation		256,549	3,386							38,762
Not Peturn Federal Income Tex		328,581 146,205	4,404 2,664							10,905
State & Local Income Tax		140,200	406							5,618 948
Maintenance Administration		254, 107	1,972							32,600
Other Tex		1 00,056 19,046	587							14,321 2,332
Other Direct Expenses			26,956							348,730
Investment Cost ICP/BMS Signalling Link		1,115,355	40,377							454,316
rung agailing Link rungment										
Costs Degreciation										
Net Peturn										
Federal Income Tax State & Local Income Tax										
Maintenance										32, 266
Administration										32, 200
Other Tex Other Direct Expenses			19,949							4401
Investment Cost			19,940							(19) 32, 2 6 6
ICP nvestment	6,451,717	6,749,799	815,733	6,470,871	3,641,226	2,098,998		1,076,926	500,000	6,772,200
onte			., -			-,,		.,,		4,714,200
Depreciation	774,981	631,975	66, 825	666,645	294,939	169,000			25, 114	1,354,267
Net Petum	329, 367	812,665	86,782	361,070	322,726	134,000			50,531	381,027
Federal Income Tax State & Local Income Tax	143,371 25,249	412,606	52,492 8,006	92, 588	98, 107 29, 587	58,000 4,000			24,520	196,287
Maintenance	228,619	667, 445	38,665	526, 243	104,867	4,000			9,371	36,758 1,157,996
Administration Other Tex	15,500	310,899		37, 873	239, 254					489, 672
Other Direct Expenses	15,500 (9)	31,565	11, 56 5 (13)	196,501 (14)				4,300,049	ı	72,210
Investment Cost	1,515,088	2,867,345	264,535	1,887,388	1,089,480	365,000		7,000,042	110,536	3,667,217
landern Switch nvestment					6,952,698		12,223,756			
coete										
Depreciation					563, 169		339, 644			
Net Petum Federal Income Tax					616,225		1,374,243			
State & Local Income Tax					167,330 56,494		709,424			
Maintenance Administration					200, 238		242, 139			
Other Tax					456,840		70,093 70,093			
Investment Cost					2,080,296		2,805,835			
SP .										
weaknent	9,607,385						3,389,942			
cets										
Depreciation	1,181,846						146,558			
Net Firtum Federal Income Tax	507,613						382, 324			
State & Local Income Tax	201, 495 42,624						197,534			
Maintenance	875,729						106,201			
Administration Other Tax	158,671						53, 101			
Other Direct Expenses	104,622		424,730				36, 108	2,881,920		968, 290
nveetment Cost	3,072,799		424,730				921,626	2,55,,525		866, 280
del vestment	16,259,102	12,641,666	881,627	6,470,871	10,593,924	2,098,998	15,741,139	1,078,926	500,000	9.640,623
pets									•	
Depreciation Not Fleturn	1,956,827 636,980	1,199,877 1,539,346	72,207	666, 645	656 , 108	169,000	494.896		26, 114	1,927,920
ederal Income Tex	344,667	760,783	93,782 56,726	361,070 92,588	936, 95 1 265, 437	134,000 58,000	1,771,436 913,330		50, 531 24, 520	542,426 279,431
Hate & Local Income Tax Saintenance	65, 873		6,652		86,081	4,000			9,371	50,629
dministration	1,104,348	1,248,269 571,985	42,000	526,243 37,673	305, 105		356,836		•	1,680,352
Mher Tex	174,371	66,062	12,498	37,073	696,094		125,317 106,201			697,398 103,063
Other Direct Expenses	104, 622		493,053	198,531				2,881,920		1,217,010
dal investment Cost	4,567,887	5,386,272	778,918	1,867,388	3,169,776	365,000	3,768,018		110,536	6,498,429
				.,,	-,,,,,,	333,000	5,7 00,0 10		110,000	U,790,429

Excomposes Investment Related Costs

Southwestern

Ameritech (1) Bell Atlantic (1) Bell South (2) NYNEX (3) Pacific Bell (1) Bell (4) US West (1)(20) GTE (5) New Englance United TeL (4)

Badlo System

STP/SCP Signaling Link Investment

Ceste
Depreciation
Net Return
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax
Investment Cost

Local STP/Regional STP Signaling Link investment

Costs
Depreciation
Net Perturn
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Mainterrane
Advirialmento
Other Tax
Investment Cost

SCP/BM8 Signaling Link

Cests
Depreciation
Net Perlum
Fede all Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax
Investment Cost

SCP Investment

Costs
Depreciation
Net Return
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax
Investment Cost

Tendem Switch Investment

Costs
Depreciation
Not Return
Federal hoome Tax
State & Local hoome Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax
Investment Cost

SSP Investment

Costs
Depreciation
Net Return
Federal Income Tax
State & Losal Income Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax
Investment Cost

Total Investment

Costs
Depreciation
Net Return
Federal Income Tex
State & Local Income Tex
Mainterrance
Administration
Other Tex

Total Investment Cost

Exposmous Journalment Related Costs...

	Amerikash (1)	Bell Atlantic (1)	Bell South (2)	NYNEX (a)	Southwestern Pacific Bell (1) Bell (4) US West (1)(20) GTE	Southern (5) New England(2) United Tel. (4)
		(1)			7.77	
Clearly Equipment						
STP/SCP Signalling Link Investment	40 868	71.400		450.040	101 150	
nostrion:	42,565	71,493	3,541	459,943	191,162	
Costs Depreciation	~			.=		
Net Return	7,130 1,937	8,528 7,838	292 379	47, 403 26, 248	23, 364 21, 240	
Federal Income Tex	852	4,022	229	7,312	10,620	
State & Local Income Tax Maintenance	1,007	3,362	35	37,216	4,248	
Administration		2,797		3,656	2,124	
Other Tex Other Direct Expenses	116	294	51		2,124	
Investment Cost	11,043	26,642	986	11,748 131,366	63,721	
Local STP/Regional STP Signalling Link Investment		233,419	7,670	3,268,183		
		200,419	7,070	3,200,103		
Costs Depreciation						
Not Platum		26, 427 24, 444	828 817	341,027 183,700		
Federal Income Yest		10,954	494	47,527		
State & Local Income Tax Maintenance		7,195	75 84	274,564		
Administration		7,798		16,260		
Other Tax		1,403	109	100 407		
investment Cost		60, 221	2,207	103, 107 965, 986		
SCP/BMS Signalling Link		,				
investment						
Costs Depreciation						
Not Fletum						
Federal income Tax						
State & Local Income Tax Maintenance						
Administration						
Other Tax Investment Cost						
3CP						
Investment						
Coets						
Depreciation						
Net fletum Federal Income Tax						
State & Local Income Tax						
Maintenance Administration						
Other Tex						
Investment Cost						
Tendem Switch						
Investment					6,496	
0-4-					6,490	
Costs Depreciation						
Net Return					2,124	
Federal Income Tax State & Local Income Tax						
Maintenance						
Administration Other Tex						
Investment Cost					2.01	
					2,124	
SSP Investment						
					97,705	
Coets						
Depreciation Net Peturs					12,744 10,620	
Federal Income Tax					10,620 6,372	
State & Local Income Tax Maintenance						
Administration					2,124 2,124	
Other Tax Investment Cost					2,124	
a respirate a COST					36, 108	
Total investment	40 505	204.040	44.644	0.700.465		
	42,585	304,912	11,211	3,728,125	297, 363	
Costs						
Depreciation Not Pletum	7,130 1,937	36,956 32,262	920 1,198	386,431	38, 232	
Federal Income Tax	852	14,976	723	209,948 54,839	31,880 16,992	
State & Local Income Tax Maintenance			110			
Administration	1,007	10,557 10,595	84	311,780 21,935	6,372 4,248	
Other Tex	116	1,696	160		4,24 8 4,248	
Total investment Cost	11,043	107,063	3,193	114,855		
	, 0-10	.0,,000	3,193	1,097,352	101,953	

Excouncile Investment Related Costs

Southwestern Southern
Ameritech (1) Bell Atlantic (1) Bell South (2) NYNEX (3) Pacific Bell (1) Bell (4) US West (1)(20) GTE (5) New Englance) United Tel. (4)

Other Terminal Equipment

STP/SCP Signaling Link investment

Coete
Depreciation
Net Partum
Federal Income Tex
State & Local Income Tex
Maintenance
Adviriateation
Other Tex
Investment Coet

Local STP/Regional STP Signalling Link investment

Costs
Depreciation
Net Peturn
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax
Investment Cost

SCP/SMS Signalling Link Investment

Costs
Depreciation
Not Return
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Mathemanic
Administration
Other Tax
Investment Cost

SCP Investment

Costs
Depreciation
Net Partum
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Activi ristration
Other Tax
Investment Cost

Tanden: Switch Investment

Coeta
Depreciation
Net Return
Fede all Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax
Investment Coet

SSP Investment

Costa
Depreciation
Net Parlum
Federal froome Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax
Investment Cost

Total Investment

Costs
Depreciation
Net Return
Federal Income Tax
State & Local Income Tax
Maintenance
Administration
Other Tax

Total investment Cost

Exononous Investment Related Costs

		SAGGEGORE	Liver thank Resided Coats	•				
	American (s. Dall Ashard	lares Ball Saudh ess	NYNEK (3) Pacific Bell (1)	Southwestern Bell (4)	US West (1)(20)	QTE (Southern 5) New Englance) United Tel.(:	41
	American (1) Bell Atlanti	10) Lew 0 00m (2)	ATRICA (a) FEBRUS CORR (1)	Bell (4)	WART (1)(SA)	4,12	of the Comme lat !	<u></u>
Poles.								
STP/SCP Signalling Link Investment	26	6 28						
Costs								
Depreciation Not Feture		2 2						
Federal income Tax		2						
State & Local Income Tax Maintenance								
Administration								
Other Text Investment Cost	(6						
Local STP/Regional STP Signalling Link								
Investment	5,262	2 81						
Costs								
Depreciation Not Perum	32t 554							
Federal Income Tax	240	6 6						
State & Local Income Tax Maintenance	84	1 1						
Administration	145	3						
Other Tax Investment Cost	35 1,360							
SCP/SMS Signalling Link	r,sur	- 40						
Investment								
Costs Depreciation								
Not Petern								
Federal Income Tax State & Local Income Tax								
Maintenance								
Administration Other Tax								
Investment Cost								
SCP Investment								
Coets Depreciation								
Net Peturn Federal Income Tex								
State & Local Income Tax								
Maintenance Administration								
Other Tax								
Investment Cost								
Tendem Switch Investment								
Coeta								
Depreciation Not Petum								
Federal Income Tax								
State & Local Income Tax Maintenance								
Administration								
Other Tax Investment Cost								
89P								
Investment								
Coets								
Depreciation Not Pletum								
Federal income Tex								
Siste & Local Income Tex Maintenance								
Administration Other Tax								
Other Tax Investment Cost								
Total Investment								
Costs	5,268	109						
Depreciation	327	7						
Net Patum Federal Income Tax	555	10						
State & Local Income Tax	248	6 1						
Maintenance Administration	64	i						
Other Tax	143	1						
Total Investment Cost	1,372	26						
··	1,3/2	20						

Exogenous Investment Related Costs

				Southwestern			Southern
	Ameritech (1) Bell Atlantic (1) Bell South (2)	NYNEX (3) Pasific Bell (1)		US West (1)(20)	GTE	(5) New England(2) United Tel. (4)
Agrial Cable							
STP/SCP Signaling Link							
Investment	26	156					
C							
Coete Depreciation	2	15					
Not Fistum Federal Income Tax	2	19					
State & Local Income Tax	2	12 2					
Maintenance		3					
Administration Other Tex		3					
investment Cost	6	54					
Local STP/Regional STP Signelling Link							
Investment	5,262	460					
Costs							
Depreciation	326	37					
Not Perlim	554	49					
Federal Income Tax State & Local Income Tax	246	29 4					
Maintenance	64	7					
Administration Other Tex	143 33	6					
Investment Cost	1,366	132					
SCP/SMS Signalling Link							
Investment							
Costs							
Depreciation							
Net Petum Federal Income Tax							
State & Local Income Tax							
Maintenance							
Administration Other Tex							
Investment Cost							
SCP							
knyestment							
Costs							
Depreciation							
Net Pletum Federal Income Tax							
State & Local Income Tax							
Maintenance							
Administration Other Text							
Investment Cost							
Tandem Switch							
Investment							
Costs							
Depreciation							
Not Person Federal Income Tex							
State & Local Income Tex							
Maintenance Administration							
Other Tax							
Investment Cost							
33P							
investment							
Costs							
Denmoistion							
Net Return Federal Income Tax							
State & Local Income Tax							
Maintenance Administration							
Other Tax							
Investment Cost							
Total							
Investment	5,288	616					
Costs							
Depreciation	327	52					
Net Peturn Federal Income Text	555	68					
State & Local Income Tax	248	41 6					
Maintenance Administration	64	10					
Other Tax	143 33	9					
Total investment Cost							
CONTRACTOR CONT	1,372	186					